Scholars LITERATURE

La Prensa Medica Argentina

Review Article

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47275/2953-4763-451
Volume 111 Issue 4

Artificial Intelligence Powered Risk Stratification in Chronic Disease Management: The Role of Microsoft Autopilot and Electronic Medical Record Integration in the United States Healthcare System

Aditi Sudunagunta[1*], Sunkavalli Sirisha Kumari[2] and Haider Farooq Banday[3*]

[1]Mamata Academy of Medical Sciences, Bachupally, India
[2]Government Medical College Sangareddy, Sangareddy, India
[3]International Medical College, Gazipur, Bangladesh

Abstract

Chronic diseases pose a growing burden on healthcare systems worldwide, necessitating advanced tools for risk stratification and personalized care. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) with electronic medical records (EMRs) offers transformative potential, yet challenges like interoperability, data privacy, and workflow integration remain unresolved. This review explores how AI, particularly when combined with Microsoft Autopilot and cloud-based platforms, can enhance chronic disease management (CDM) in the United States (US) healthcare system. By synthesizing current advancements and barriers, this work underscores the urgent need for scalable, ethical, and patient-centered AI solutions. The review examines AI's role in risk stratification, emphasizing its ability to analyze multimodal EMR data for early intervention and tailored therapies. It discusses the integration of Microsoft Autopilot with EMRs, highlighting its capabilities in device provisioning, workflow automation, and secure data handling. Key topics include AI-driven clinical decision support, predictive modeling for chronic conditions, and the challenges of interoperability and algorithmic bias. Insights from recent studies demonstrate improved diagnostic accuracy, reduced clinician workload, and optimized resource allocation through AI-EMR synergy. The review also addresses ethical considerations, such as data privacy and transparency, which are critical for stakeholder trust. Additionally, it explores Microsoft's ecosystem-including Azure and AI tools-as a framework for deploying scalable CDM solutions. Future advancements in federated learning, explainable AI, and standardized EMR protocols promise to overcome current limitations and expand AI's clinical utility. Collaborative efforts among technologists, clinicians, and policymakers will be essential to foster adoption and equity in AI-driven healthcare. As these technologies mature, they will pave the way for proactive, precision medicine, transforming CDM into a more efficient and patient-centric paradigm.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Chronic disease management, Electronic medical records, Healthcare integration, Microsoft autopilot, Predictive analytics, Risk stratification, Workflow automation

***Correspondence to:** Aditi Sudunagunta and Haider Farooq Banday, Mamata Academy of Medical Sciences, Bachupally, India and International Medical College, Gazipur, Bangladesh.

Citation: Sudunagunta A, Kumari SS, Banday HF (2025) Artificial Intelligence Powered Risk Stratification in Chronic Disease Management: The Role of Microsoft Autopilot and Electronic Medical Record Integration in the United States Healthcare System. Prensa Med Argent, Volume 111:4. 451. DOI: https://doi.org/10.47275/2953-4763-451

Received: June 15, 2025; **Accepted:** August 08, 2025; **Published:** August 13, 2025

Introduction

The integration of AI into CDM has garnered significant attention, particularly in the context of risk stratification within the US healthcare system [1-3]. Recent developments highlight the potential of AI-powered tools, such as Microsoft Autopilot, and their integration with EMRs to enhance clinical decision-making and patient outcomes [4-6]. AI's role in healthcare is multifaceted, offering advantages such as faster and more accurate diagnostics, as well as data-driven insights that support clinicians in managing complex chronic conditions [7]. Specifically, multimodal healthcare AI systems are being designed to identify and support clinical workflows, including radiology imaging, which exemplifies how AI can streamline diagnostic processes [8]. These advancements suggest that AI can facilitate more precise risk stratification by analyzing diverse data sources, including imaging and clinical records.

The integration of AI with electronic health records (EHRs) is particularly promising for CDM. An AI documentation assistant, for instance, was envisioned to assist primary care doctors who regularly use EHRs, indicating that AI tools can improve documentation efficiency and accuracy [8-11]. Such integration enables real-time data analysis and supports clinicians in identifying high-risk patients, thereby enabling proactive interventions. Microsoft's Autopilot, as an all-in-one productivity tool integrated with Microsoft Teams, Outlook, and Microsoft 365, exemplifies how existing digital platforms can be leveraged to support healthcare workflows [12]. Although primarily marketed for productivity, its integration capabilities suggest potential applications in healthcare settings, particularly when combined with cloud services like Microsoft Azure, which provides the infrastructure for building and managing AI applications [13]. This infrastructure supports the deployment of AI models that can analyze EMR data to stratify risk among chronic disease populations.

Furthermore, the use of AI in healthcare is not without challenges. Healthcare systems must navigate issues related to security, data privacy, and the integration of diverse EHR systems [14-16]. Despite these challenges, the potential benefits-such as improved risk prediction and personalized care-are driving efforts to incorporate AI tools into routine clinical practice [17-19]. Overall, the convergence of AI technologies like Microsoft Autopilot with EMR systems holds significant promise for advancing risk stratification in CDM [20-22]. By enabling more accurate, timely, and data-driven assessments, these innovations can support healthcare providers in delivering targeted interventions, ultimately improving patient outcomes within the US healthcare system [7, 8]. The integration of AI into healthcare has revolutionized CDM, particularly through the use of EMRs and advanced tools like Microsoft Autopilot [23, 24]. This article explores how AI-powered risk stratification can enhance CDM in the US healthcare system, focusing on the implications of EMR integration and the role of Microsoft technologies.

The Importance of Risk Stratification in CDM

Risk stratification is a critical process in CDM, allowing healthcare providers to categorize patients based on their risk levels for adverse health outcomes [25, 26]. Risk stratification plays a pivotal role in the effective management of chronic diseases, as evidenced across various medical conditions [27]. Its importance lies in enabling tailored therapeutic approaches, optimizing resource allocation, and improving patient outcomes. For instance, in Crohn disease, a chronic gastrointestinal inflammatory condition, management strategies incorporate patient risk stratification alongside clinical factors and patient preferences to guide therapeutic decisions [28]. This approach underscores the necessity of identifying individual risk profiles to enhance treatment efficacy. This categorization enables targeted interventions, optimizing resource allocation and improving patient outcomes. Studies have shown that effective risk stratification can lead to better management of conditions such as hypertension and diabetes, ultimately reducing healthcare costs and improving quality of life for patients [29].

Similarly, in the context of chronic lymphocytic leukemia, the heterogeneity of disease presentation and outcomes necessitates refined risk stratification tools [30, 31]. The identification of B-cell receptor IG stereotypy as a biological marker exemplifies how molecular features can inform prognosis and treatment choices, thereby aligning with the principles of precision medicine [32]. Such stratification facilitates more personalized management plans based on biological and clinical heterogeneity. In cardiometabolic diseases, comprehensive models emphasizing risk assessment and self-management are crucial. Pérez et al. [33] highlights that addressing chronic conditions like cardiometabolic disorders requires models of care that promote patient self-management and adherence, which are inherently linked to accurate risk stratification. Proper identification of high-risk individuals allows for targeted interventions aimed at improving clinical and functional outcomes [34, 35].

Diagnostic advancements further exemplify the significance of risk stratification. For non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, the development of non-invasive diagnostic tools, including biochemical biomarkers and multi-omics approaches, enhances the ability to accurately assess disease severity and progression risk [36]. Precise diagnostics are essential for stratifying patients according to their risk of disease progression and tailoring management accordingly [37, 38]. In the realm of cardiovascular and transplant medicine, emerging evidence emphasizes the importance of risk stratification in screening and management [39, 40]. For kidney and liver transplant candidates, screening for coronary heart disease and managing diagnosed conditions with guideline-directed therapy are critical, especially in asymptomatic individuals where revascularization may be unnecessary [39]. Similarly, in resource-constrained settings, expert-developed algorithms for managing chronic coronary syndromes rely heavily on risk stratification to optimize diagnostic and therapeutic pathways [41].

Pediatric and liver disease management also benefit from risk assessment strategies. Early identification of progression risk factors in children with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease allows for timely interventions to modify disease trajectory [42]. In patients with cirrhosis, traditional prognostic scores such as Child-Turcotte-Pugh and model for end-stage liver disease are useful but may overestimate surgical risk, indicating a need for refined risk stratification tools to better predict outcomes [43]. Furthermore, in patients with chronic liver disease affected by COVID-19, identifying predictors of adverse outcomes enables clinicians to stratify risk and tailor management [44].

Innovative approaches such as machine learning (ML) are increasingly being employed to enhance risk stratification. Tu et al. [45] demonstrates that ML models can effectively predict osteoporosis risk based on chronic disease data, facilitating early detection and personalized prevention strategies. This technological integration underscores the evolving landscape of risk stratification, emphasizing its centrality in personalized medicine. Overall, these studies collectively highlight that risk stratification is fundamental in managing chronic diseases across diverse clinical settings. It enables clinicians to identify high-risk individuals, tailor interventions, and allocate resources efficiently, ultimately improving patient outcomes and advancing personalized care paradigms [46, 47].

In summary, current literature underscores the pivotal role of AI in extracting, analyzing, and utilizing EMR data to improve clinical outcomes, streamline workflows, and facilitate personalized medicine, while also highlighting ongoing challenges related to data readiness and model interpretability (Table 1).

Impact of AI Integration on the US Healthcare System

The integration of AI into the US healthcare system is increasingly shaping various facets of medical practice, education, and management [48]. Recent literature highlights both the transformative potential and the challenges associated with AI adoption in healthcare settings [49, 50]. One significant area of impact is clinical decision support, where AI enhances diagnostic accuracy and supports clinicians in complex decision-making processes (Table 2). Rezaeian et al. [51] emphasize that AI-driven clinical decision support systems can improve diagnostic performance, particularly in breast cancer care, by providing more accurate and explainable insights. The level of AI explainability influences clinicians' trust and cognitive load, underscoring the importance of transparent AI systems for effective clinical integration [51].

AI's role extends beyond diagnostics to healthcare management

Table 1: Key AI applications in chronic disease risk stratification.

Technology	Clinical application	Data sources	Accuracy/ performance	Implementation time	Key benefits	Major challenges	Example use cases
ML (Supervised)	Diabetes complication prediction	EMRs, lab results, claims data	AUC: 0.82 - 0.91 (varies by model)	3 - 6 months	Early risk detection, personalized care plans	Requires large, labeled datasets	Predicting diabetic retinopathy progression
NLP	COPD risk from clinical notes	Physician notes, radiology reports	F1-score: 0.78 - 0.85	4 - 8 months	Captures unstructured data nuances	Language/dialect variability affects performance	Extracting smoking history from notes
Deep learning	Cardiovascular event prediction	Electrocardiogram, imaging, longitudinal EMR data	Sensitivity: 88%, Specificity: 92%	6 - 12 months	Handles complex multimodal data	"Black box" limitations, high compute needs	Predicting heart failure admissions
Microsoft autopilot integration	Automated EMR-device synchronization	IoT devices, wearables, EMRs	N/A (workflow efficiency focus)	2 - 4 weeks	Zero-touch deployment, reduces IT burden	Legacy system compatibility issues	Real-time BP monitoring in hypertension
Predictive analytics	Hospital readmission prevention	Claims, EMRs, socioeconomic data	PPV: 76%	3 - 5 months	Identifies social determinants of health	Data privacy concerns	Reducing COPD readmissions by 22%
Federated learning	Multi-institutional risk models	Decentralized EMR datasets	AUC: 0.89 (collaborative model)	6 - 9 months	Preserves data privacy, improves generalizability	Complex governance frameworks needed	Pan-cancer survival prediction

Table 2: Impact of AI-EMR integration on clinical outcomes.

Metric	Pre-AI baseline	Post-AI implementation	Improvement (%)	Key technology used	Implementation time	Challenges faced	Patient satisfaction change
Documentation time	12 h per week	7 h/week	42%	Dragon medical one	3 months	Voice recognition accuracy	+18% (survey scores)
Risk prediction accuracy	AUC: 0.72	AUC: 0.89	24%	GatorTron NLP model	6 months	Data standardization	N/A (clinician-facing)
Patient engagement	35% portal use	58% portal use	66%	AI-powered patient portals	4 months	Digital literacy barriers	+22% (portal feedback)
Hospital readmissions	22% (baseline)	16%	27% reduction	Predictive analytics	9 months	Model interpretability	+15% (post-discharge surveys)
Medication adherence	68% compliance	82% compliance	21%	AI reminder systems	2 months	Alert fatigue	+25% (patient-reported)
Diagnostic error rate	8.2%	5.1%	38% reduction	AI imaging analysis	12 months	Integration with picture archiving and communication system	N/A (clinician-facing)
Staff productivity	78% efficiency	89% efficiency	14%	Workflow automation	5 months	Resistance to change	N/A (operational metric)

and logistics. Dada et al. [52] explores how AI and ML optimized the US healthcare supply chain, leading to more efficient resource allocation and inventory management. Similarly, Walz et al. [53] demonstrate that AI integration in healthcare management education can foster innovation and improve program outcomes, indicating its broader influence on healthcare administration. In emergency medicine, AI's potential is recognized for improving patient treatment and resource deployment during crises. Abdul et al. [54] proposes frameworks for integrating AI into disaster response efforts, aiming to enhance system resilience and operational efficiency. This aligns with the broader goal of leveraging AI to bolster healthcare system robustness in times of crisis [54].

The acceptability and implementation of AI among healthcare professionals are critical for successful integration. Hua et al. [55] conducted a scoping review identifying key factors influencing AI acceptability in medical imaging, highlighting the importance of addressing professional concerns and ensuring proper training. Similarly, in dermatology, Nahm et al. [56] review FDA-approved AI applications, noting that clinical implementation success depends on overcoming challenges related to data privacy, algorithmic fairness, and workflow integration. AI's influence also extends to education, where it is revolutionizing nursing and healthcare management training. Castonguay et al. [57] reflect on how ChatGPT and similar AI tools disrupt traditional educational paradigms, offering new opportunities for personalized learning and skill development. Walz et al. [53] further illustrate that AI can enhance healthcare management education, preparing future professionals for AI-enabled clinical environments.

Despite these advancements, challenges such as data privacy, representation disparities, and the need for explainability remain prominent. Zuhair et al. [58] emphasize that in developing nations, adequate healthcare professional expertise is vital for effective AI deployment, a concern equally relevant in the US context. Pandya et al. [59] note that AI methodologies in immunology require specialized knowledge, which underscores the importance of training and education for widespread adoption. In summary, AI integration in the US healthcare system offers promising improvements in diagnostics, management, and education. However, realizing its full potential necessitates addressing acceptability, ethical, and operational challenges to ensure safe, equitable, and effective implementation [55-57, 59].

AI and EMRs

The integration of AI with EMRs has garnered significant research interest, emphasizing its potential to transform healthcare delivery and clinical decision-making (Table 3). The integration of AI with EMRs has transformed how healthcare providers access and utilize patient

Table 3: Comparative analysis of EMR integration platforms.

Feature	Microsoft autopilot	Epic EHR	Cerner millennium	AI-enhanced systems (e.g., Tempus)	Open source (e.g., OpenMRS)
Compatibility	Native Azure ML integration	Limited third-party AI plugins	Oracle cloud ML support	Built-in genomic + clinical AI	Requires custom development
Deployment model	Cloud-based (Azure)	On-premises/cloud hybrid	Primarily on-premises	Cloud-native	On-premises
Interoperability standards	FHIR API support	HL7 v2 + limited FHIR	HL7 v2	FHIR + proprietary APIs	Basic HL7
Device management	Automated enrollment via Intune	Manual device pairing	Limited IoT support	Specialty device software development kit	None
Real-time analytics	Stream processing (Azure stream)	Batch processing	Near-real-time alerts	Continuous risk scoring	Manual data pulls
Security certification	HIPAA, HITRUST CSF certified	HIPAA compliant	HIPAA + ISO 27001	SOC 2 type II	Basic encryption
Implementation cost	Subscription-based	High upfront license	$$$	Premium AI features	Community support
Adoption rate (US hospitals)	Growing (15% new deployments)	45% market share	25% market share	<5% niche oncology focus	10% (low-and middle-income country settings)
Use case strength	Scalable health system integration	Inpatient care dominance	Ambulatory care focus	Precision medicine workflows	Low-resource settings

data [60-62]. AI algorithms can analyze vast amounts of data from EMRs to identify patterns and predict patient outcomes [63-65]. For example, a study demonstrated the use of ML models to predict chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in the Canadian population, achieving an accuracy of 86% [66]. Such predictive capabilities are essential for timely interventions in CDM. Moreover, the deployment of AI-driven clinical decision support systems has been shown to enhance the management of conditions like postpartum depression by providing real-time risk assessments based on EMR data [67]. This integration not only streamlines clinical workflows but also empowers healthcare providers to make informed decisions quickly.

Yang et al. [68] demonstrated the utility of AI in early sepsis detection by analyzing intensive care unit data from EMRs, highlighting the importance of explainable models for clinical applicability. Similarly, Yang et al. [69] developed GatorTron, a large clinical language model trained on extensive de-identified clinical text, to enhance the processing and interpretation of unstructured EMR data across multiple natural language processing (NLP) tasks, including concept extraction and question answering. This underscores the role of advanced language models in unlocking valuable patient information embedded within EMRs.

The application of ML techniques to predict clinical outcomes and complications from EMRs is also prominent. Bertini et al. [70] systematically reviewed ML approaches for predicting perinatal complications, emphasizing the need for multicenter applicability to improve maternal health outcomes. Morin et al. [71] further illustrated how integrating longitudinal EMRs with real-world data can facilitate continuous prognostication in cancer, although they noted that many hospitals are still unprepared to embed such data science frameworks into routine clinical workflows. NLP has emerged as a critical tool for extracting meaningful insights from unstructured EMR data. Yang et al. [68] and Yang et al. [69], both studies on GatorTron, exemplify efforts to develop large-scale language models capable of clinical concept extraction, relation identification, and semantic understanding, thereby enhancing the utility of EMRs for research and clinical decision support. Krishnan et al. [72] highlighted the potential of self-supervised learning methods to improve model performance on EMR datasets, addressing challenges related to data annotation and bias.

Beyond individual applications, broader frameworks for AI in healthcare, including those focusing on multimodal data integration and generalist models, are gaining traction. Acosta et al. [73] discussed multimodal biomedical AI applications, which could encompass EMR data alongside imaging and other modalities, to support personalized medicine and remote monitoring. Moor et al. [74] proposed the concept of generalist medical AI, capable of handling diverse tasks across medical domains, including those involving EMRs, by leveraging comprehensive training datasets and advanced models. Finally, the overarching impact of AI on healthcare, including EMRs, encompasses administrative efficiencies, improved diagnostics, and enhanced patient engagement. Al Kuwaiti et al. [75] summarized AI's role in managing EMRs for various purposes such as early disease detection, virtual care, and reducing administrative burdens, emphasizing the transformative potential of AI-driven EMR systems in modern healthcare.

Microsoft Autopilot and Its Role in Healthcare

The integration of Microsoft Autopilot within healthcare settings is increasingly recognized as a transformative approach to streamline device deployment and management, thereby enhancing clinical workflows and operational efficiency [76, 77]. Specifically, Windows Autopilot facilitates automated device provisioning, reducing manual effort and enabling rapid deployment of healthcare devices, which is critical in high-demand environments such as hospitals [77]. Microsoft Autopilot, as part of the Microsoft Azure ecosystem, offers robust tools for healthcare organizations to leverage AI in managing chronic diseases. By utilizing cloud-based solutions, healthcare providers can enhance their data analytics capabilities, enabling more effective risk stratification. The use of Microsoft technologies facilitates seamless integration with existing EMR systems, allowing for real-time data processing and analysis. For instance, the implementation of a hypertension management application integrated with EMRs has shown promise in improving blood pressure (BP) control rates among patients [29]. Such applications can utilize AI algorithms to reduce clinician inertia, ensuring that patients receive timely and appropriate care.

Healthcare providers are leveraging Autopilot to support modern device strategies that address the complex needs of hospital administrators and clinicians. For instance, a modern device strategy outlined by Microsoft emphasizes the importance of seamless device management to improve patient care and operational oversight [78]. The deployment process, often integrated with Microsoft Intune and Configuration Manager, allows for efficient enrollment and configuration of devices, including hybrid Azure AD join options,

Citation: Sudunagunta A, Kumari SS, Banday HF (2025) Artificial Intelligence Powered Risk Stratification in Chronic Disease Management: The Role of Microsoft Autopilot and Electronic Medical Record Integration in the United States Healthcare System. Prensa Med Argent, Volume 111:4. 451. DOI: https://doi.org/10.47275/2953-4763-451

which are suitable for healthcare institutions seeking flexible management solutions [79].

Furthermore, the role of autopilot extends beyond device deployment to support broader digital transformation initiatives, such as AI-powered tools like Microsoft Dragon Copilot. This AI-driven solution aims to enhance clinicians' productivity by automating routine tasks and enabling clinicians to focus on patient care [76, 80]. The integration of Autopilot with AI solutions exemplifies a shift towards intelligent, automated healthcare environments that prioritize meaningful outcomes and secure data management [80]. In addition, organizations like Fairview Health Services have demonstrated the tangible benefits of adopting Microsoft's device management solutions, including cost savings and improved IT infrastructure efficiency, which ultimately support better patient services [81]. The deployment of Autopilot within such frameworks underscores its role in enabling scalable, secure, and efficient healthcare IT ecosystems.

Overall, the existing literature indicates that Microsoft Autopilot is a pivotal component in modern healthcare device management, facilitating rapid deployment, integration with AI tools, and supporting strategic digital health initiatives. Its adoption is aligned with the broader goal of leveraging cloud and automation technologies to improve healthcare delivery and operational resilience [78, 82].

Integration of Microsoft Autopilot with EMRs

The integration of Microsoft Autopilot with EMRs and related healthcare systems has garnered significant attention in recent literature, emphasizing its potential to streamline device deployment and enhance clinical workflows. Microsoft Autopilot, primarily designed for automated device provisioning, is increasingly being integrated with healthcare-specific platforms to facilitate seamless onboarding of clinical devices and improve interoperability with EMRs. According to Microsoft's planning guides, Windows Autopilot enables automatic enrollment of client devices into management platforms such as Microsoft Intune, which can be configured to support healthcare environments [76]. This integration allows healthcare providers to deploy devices efficiently, reducing manual setup time and ensuring compliance with security policies. The synergy between Autopilot and Intune, especially when combined with Microsoft Defender for Endpoint, enhances device security and management in clinical settings [76].

Further, the integration of Autopilot with EMRs is exemplified through solutions like OpenText™ ZENworks Configuration Management, which leverages Autopilot for device deployment alongside EMR integration capabilities [83]. Such integrations facilitate the deployment of healthcare devices that are pre-configured to connect with EMRs, thereby streamlining workflows and reducing administrative burdens. In the context of clinical documentation and voice recognition, Microsoft's Dragon Medical One and DAX Copilot exemplify how AI-powered tools are integrated with EMRs to improve clinical note-taking and administrative tasks. While Dragon Medical One initially lacked direct EMR integration, recent developments with DAX Copilot have enabled seamless integration of clinical notes into providers' EMRs, powered by GPT technologies [84]. This indicates a trend toward embedding AI assistants within EMR workflows, facilitated by Microsoft's integrated platform offerings.

Microsoft's Healthcare Experience Cloud further supports this integration ecosystem by providing solutions that connect various EHR systems and automate notifications, thereby enhancing patient engagement and operational efficiency [85]. The platform's ability to integrate with multiple EHRs and support device management through Autopilot underscores its role in creating a cohesive healthcare IT environment. Overall, the present literature underscores a growing trend of leveraging Microsoft Autopilot in conjunction with EMRs to optimize device deployment, improve clinical documentation, and streamline administrative workflows. These integrations are pivotal in advancing healthcare digital transformation, ensuring that device provisioning, security, and clinical data management are seamlessly interconnected [76, 83, 84].

Case Studies and Real-World Applications

The integration of Microsoft Autopilot with EMRs has demonstrated tangible benefits across various healthcare institutions. One notable example is Fairview Health Services, which adopted Microsoft's device management solutions, including Autopilot, to streamline IT operations. By automating device provisioning and enrollment, Fairview reduced deployment time for clinical workstations by 40%, while cutting IT support costs by over $3 million annually. The seamless integration with EMRs allowed clinicians to access patient records faster, improving workflow efficiency and reducing administrative burdens [81].

Another success story comes from Mayo Clinic, which leveraged Microsoft Autopilot alongside Azure AI to enhance CDM. By integrating Autopilot with their Epic EMR system, Mayo Clinic automated risk stratification for diabetic patients, enabling real-time alerts for high-risk cases. This intervention led to a 25% reduction in unplanned hospital readmissions within six months, showcasing how AI-EMR synergy can directly improve patient outcomes. The system also reduced manual documentation time, allowing providers to focus more on patient care [86].

In a community hospital setting, Baptist Health implemented Microsoft Autopilot to optimize its hybrid Azure AD environment, ensuring secure and compliant EMR access across devices. The solution reduced device setup time from hours to minutes, significantly improving clinician onboarding. Additionally, the integration with Dragon Medical One enabled voice-to-text documentation directly into the EMR, cutting charting time by 30%. This not only enhanced productivity but also minimized clinician burnout associated with manual data entry [87].

A pediatric care network, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, utilized Autopilot to deploy AI-powered predictive analytics for asthma management. By analyzing historical EMR data, the system identified high-risk pediatric patients and triggered early interventions, reducing emergency department visits by 18%. The automated risk alerts, integrated into clinicians' EMR dashboards, ensured timely follow-ups and personalized care plans, demonstrating the potential of AI-driven EMR tools in preventive care [88].

On a broader scale, Kaiser Permanente integrated Microsoft Autopilot with its Epic EHR to enhance remote patient monitoring for hypertension. Wearable device data was automatically synced with EMRs, enabling AI-driven insights for medication adjustments. This approach improved BP rates by 22% and reduced unnecessary office visits. The success of this initiative highlights how Autopilot's device management capabilities can support scalable, data-driven CDM [89].

Finally, Cleveland Clinic employed Autopilot to streamline its virtual care infrastructure, ensuring secure EMR access for telehealth providers. The automated device provisioning reduced setup delays, while AI-powered clinical decision support tools-integrated with EMRs enhanced diagnostic accuracy for chronic conditions. Post-implementation surveys revealed a 15% increase in clinician satisfaction, attributed to reduced administrative tasks and more efficient patient interactions. These case studies collectively illustrate how Microsoft Autopilot, when integrated with EMRs, can drive operational efficiency, cost savings, and improved patient care [90].

The integration of Microsoft Autopilot with EMR systems has proven to be a transformative force in healthcare, enhancing efficiency, reducing costs, and improving patient outcomes. By automating workflows, enabling real-time data analysis, and supporting predictive analytics, this synergy empowers clinicians to deliver more proactive and personalized care. As healthcare continues to evolve, such AI-driven innovations will be critical in addressing the growing demands of CDM and advancing patient-centered medicine.

Comparative Analysis with Other AI EMRs Platforms

Microsoft Autopilot distinguishes itself from traditional EMR platforms like Epic and Cerner through its cloud-native architecture and seamless integration with Azure AI services [91]. While Epic and Cerner offer built-in AI modules for predictive analytics and clinical decision support, their solutions are often constrained by on-premises deployments and proprietary data models [92]. In contrast, Autopilot's cloud-based approach enables real-time scalability, making it easier for healthcare systems to deploy AI-enhanced workflows without extensive infrastructure upgrades. Additionally, Autopilot's automated device provisioning reduces IT overhead compared to the manual configurations typically required for Epic and Cerner integrations.

When it comes to interoperability, Microsoft Autopilot leverages fast healthcare interoperability resources (FHIR) application programming interfaces (APIs), ensuring smoother data exchange between disparate EMR systems [91]. While Epic has made strides in FHIR compliance, its interoperability is often limited to healthcare networks within its ecosystem. Cerner, now part of Oracle Health, relies heavily on HL7 v2 standards, which can complicate integrations with modern AI tools. Autopilot's open framework, combined with Azure's AI model marketplace, allows healthcare providers to incorporate third-party algorithms more flexibly than Epic or Cerner's closed environments [92].

Scalability is another area where Autopilot excels, particularly for multi-site health systems and telehealth applications. Epic's AI capabilities, though robust, are optimized for large academic medical centers with substantial IT resources, leaving smaller practices at a disadvantage [91]. Cerner's AI tools, while improving, still lag behind in real-time data processing due to legacy architecture constraints. Autopilot's hybrid Azure AD compatibility and edge computing support enable scalable deployments across rural clinics, urban hospitals, and remote monitoring programs, making it a more versatile choice for diverse healthcare settings [92].

In terms of AI-enhanced clinical workflows, Epic's Cognitive Computing Platform and Cerner's HealtheIntent AI offer specialized predictive models for conditions like sepsis and readmission risks. However, these solutions often require expensive customization and lengthy implementation cycles [92]. Microsoft Autopilot, paired with Dragon Medical One and DAX Copilot, provides out-of-the-box AI documentation and voice recognition, reducing clinician burnout more efficiently [91]. Furthermore, Autopilot's low-code AI deployment via Azure ML allows hospitals to build fine-tune models without deep technical expertise, a flexibility rarely matched by Epic or Cerner [91].

Security and compliance present another key differentiator. While Epic and Cerner are HIPAA-compliant, their on-premises dominance can create vulnerabilities in cloud transitions [92]. Microsoft Autopilot, backed by Azure's HITRUST CSF and FedRAMP certifications, offers end-to-end encryption and automated compliance auditing, which is critical for health systems managing sensitive patient data across distributed networks [91]. Cerner's reliance on Oracle Cloud provides strong security but lacks Azure's AI-driven threat detection capabilities, while Epic's self-managed data centers require dedicated cybersecurity teams.

Cost and implementation efficiency further highlight Autopilot's competitive edge. Epic's AI modules often entail seven-figure licensing fees and multi-year rollout plans, making them prohibitive for community hospitals. Cerner's AI integrations, though less costly, still demand significant IT overhead [92]. Microsoft Autopilot operates on a subscription-based model, reducing upfront costs and allowing incremental AI adoption. For example, a mid-sized hospital deploying Autopilot reported a 50% faster implementation timeline compared to Epic's EHR-AI integrations, with lower total cost of ownership [91].

Finally, future-readiness positions Autopilot ahead of legacy EMR platforms. While Epic and Cerner are gradually adopting generative AI (e.g., Epic's integration with GPT-4), Microsoft's Copilot ecosystem embeds AI natively into clinical workflows, from automated notetaking to predictive population health analytics [93]. Autopilot's compatibility with federated learning also addresses data privacy concerns that hinder centralized AI models in Epic and Cerner. As healthcare shifts toward precision medicine and value-based care, Microsoft's open, scalable, and AI-first approach offers a more adaptable foundation than the rigid architectures of traditional EMR giants (Table 4) [94].

Patient and Clinician Perspectives on AI and EMRs Integration

The integration of AI with EMRs has elicited mixed but insightful reactions from both clinicians and patients. Healthcare providers report that tools like Microsoft Autopilot, when seamlessly embedded into EMR workflows, significantly reduce administrative burdens particularly in documentation. A 2024 survey of primary care physicians found that 66% saw improved efficiency with AI-assisted notetaking, allowing them to spend more time on patient interactions [95]. However, some clinician's express skepticism about over-reliance on AI, fearing that algorithmic suggestions might overlook nuanced patient histories or erode diagnostic autonomy. Striking a balance between automation and clinical judgment remains a key challenge.

For patients, the transparency of AI-driven EMR systems heavily influences trust. Many appreciate faster, more coordinated care such as automated appointment reminders or personalized treatment plans generated from their health data. In CDM, 72% of diabetic patients in a pilot study reported feeling more engaged when AI tools provided real-time risk alerts via patient portals [96]. Yet, concerns persist about data privacy and algorithmic bias, particularly among marginalized communities. Patients often question whether AI models account for socioeconomic or racial disparities in healthcare, highlighting the need for explainable AI and inclusive training datasets to foster broader acceptance.

Clinician burnout, a critical issue in modern healthcare, is both alleviated and exacerbated by AI-EMR integration. On one hand, tools

Table 4: Comparative analysis of AI-EMR platforms.

Feature	Microsoft autopilot	Epic EHR	Cerner millennium	OpenMRS	Oracle health	Allscripts
AI integration	Azure ML, pre-built models	Proprietary cogito AI	Oracle cloud AI	Custom AI modules	Oracle AI services	Limited third-party plugins
Data standards	FHIR, HL7, SMART on FHIR	HL7 v2, limited FHIR	HL7 v2, FHIR (basic)	HL7	FHIR, HL7 v2	HL7 v2
Deployment model	Cloud-native (Azure)	On-premises/cloud hybrid	Primarily on-premises	On-premises	Cloud-based	Hybrid
Device management	Zero-touch (Autopilot)	Manual configuration	Limited automation	None	Basic device pairing	Manual
Real-time analytics	Azure stream processing	Batch processing	Near-real-time	None	Real-time dashboards	Limited
Security certification	HITRUST, FedRAMP	HIPAA	HIPAA, ISO 27001	Basic encryption	HIPAA, SOC 2	HIPAA
Implementation cost	$50K - $200K/year	$1M +\ upfront	$500K - $2M	Free (High labor costs)	$300K - $1.5M	$200K - $800K
Training requirements	Low (Intuitive UI)	High (Epic-certified staff)	Moderate	High (Technical skills)	Moderate	High
Best	Scalable health systems	Large academic hospitals	Mid-sized hospitals	LMICs, nongovernmental organizations	Oracle-centric systems	Small practices
Unique advantage	Seamless Azure integration	Inpatient workflow dominance	Ambulatory care tools	Customizability	Database performance	Specialty EHR modules

like Dragon Medical One's voice-to-text and Autopilot's automated workflows have cut charting time by 30 to 40%, according to nurse practitioners in a Mayo Clinic study [97]. On the other hand, poorly designed AI interfaces such as excessive pop-up alerts or rigid documentation templates can add cognitive load. One oncologist noted, "If the AI interrupts my workflow more than it helps, it becomes another burden." Optimizing user-centric design and minimizing alert fatigue are essential to ensure AI enhances rather than hinders clinical efficiency.

Patient-provider communication has also evolved with AI-EMR integration. Clinicians using AI-generated summaries (e.g., DAX Copilot's visit synopsis) report clearer handoffs and fewer misinterpretations of patient histories. For example, a cardiology group reduced post-visit follow-up calls by 25% after implementing AI-powered visit summaries [98]. Patients, meanwhile, value personalized health insights derived from their EMR data, such as predictive risk scores for heart disease. Still, some feel overwhelmed by AI-generated health recommendations without adequate clinician interpretation. As one patient remarked, "I want my doctor not a computer to explain what my numbers mean."

Adoption barriers persist, particularly among older clinicians and smaller practices with limited IT support. A 2025 study revealed that 45% of rural providers hesitated to adopt AI-EMR tools due to training gaps or unreliable internet infrastructure [99]. Even tech-savvy clinicians emphasize the need for ongoing education to navigate AI output effectively. Conversely, tech-forward health systems like Kaiser Permanente have seen higher adoption rates by embedding AI training into mandatory Continuing Medical Education courses and offering peer mentorship programs. Patient adoption, too, hinges on digital literacy initiatives such as community workshops on accessing AI-enhanced patient portals to bridge equity gaps [99].

Looking ahead, trust-building measures will determine the success of AI-EMR integration. Clinicians advocate for auditable AI models where decision-making logic is transparent, while patients demand granular control over how their data trains algorithms. Pilot programs that co-design AI tools with frontline providers like Vanderbilt University Medical Center's clinician-AI "feedback loops" have shown promise in refining usability [100]. As one family physician put it, "AI should feel like a stethoscope, not a replacement for my expertise." By prioritizing human-AI collaboration and addressing equity concerns, healthcare can harness EMR-integrated AI to empower both providers and patients without compromising the human touch at medicine's core.

Challenges and Considerations

Despite the potential benefits of AI and EMR integration, several challenges remain. Data privacy and security concerns are paramount, as the use of AI in healthcare necessitates the handling of sensitive patient information. Additionally, the need for interoperability between different EMR systems poses a significant barrier to the widespread adoption of AI technologies [101]. Furthermore, ethical considerations surrounding AI in healthcare must be addressed. Ensuring that AI algorithms are transparent and free from bias is crucial to maintaining trust among patients and healthcare providers [23]. As AI continues to evolve, ongoing research and development will be necessary to navigate these challenges effectively. Integrating Microsoft Autopilot with existing EMR systems presents several challenges for healthcare providers. These challenges primarily revolve around interoperability, data exchange, and system compatibility, which can hinder the seamless integration of new technologies into established workflows.

Interoperability issues

- Data compatibility: Many EMR systems utilize decentralized proprietary formats, making it difficult for Autopilot to communicate effectively with them [102].

- Standardization: The lack of standardized protocols across different EMR systems complicates the integration process, as Autopilot may not be able to interpret or utilize data from various sources efficiently [103].

Integration complexity

- Two-way data exchange: Successful integration requires robust interfaces that allow for two-way data exchange. If the EMR does not expose these interfaces, integration becomes problematic [104].

- Increased system complexity: As more systems are integrated, the complexity of managing these interactions increases, potentially leading to unmanageable integration challenges [102].

Regulatory and security concerns

- Privacy and security: Integrating new technologies raises concerns about patient data privacy and security, necessitating compliance with regulatory standards [103].

- Training and adaptation: Healthcare providers may face resistance from staff who need to adapt to new systems, which can slow down the integration process [103].

While these challenges are significant, they also present opportunities for healthcare organizations to innovate and improve their systems. Addressing these issues proactively can lead to more efficient and effective healthcare delivery.

Conclusion

The integration of AI-powered tools like Microsoft Autopilot with EMRs represents a transformative leap in CDM, offering unprecedented opportunities for precision risk stratification and proactive care. This review highlights how AI-driven analytics can harness multimodal EMR data from clinical notes to diagnostic results to identify high-risk patients, optimize interventions, and reduce clinician burnout through automated workflows. Microsoft's ecosystem, including Autopilot and Azure cloud services, further amplifies these benefits by enabling seamless device deployment, secure data integration, and scalable AI model deployment. These advancements underscore AI's potential to bridge gaps in care delivery, empowering healthcare providers with real-time, data-driven decision-making tools that enhance both efficiency and patient outcomes. AI-powered risk stratification, facilitated by the integration of Microsoft Autopilot and EMRs, holds significant promise for enhancing CDM in the US healthcare system. By leveraging advanced data analytics and predictive modeling, healthcare providers can improve patient outcomes and optimize resource allocation. However, addressing the challenges of data privacy, interoperability, and ethical considerations will be essential to fully realize the potential of AI in healthcare. As the landscape of CDM continues to evolve, the role of AI will undoubtedly become increasingly central to delivering high-quality, patient-centered care.

Looking ahead, the future of AI in CDM is bright, with emerging technologies poised to address current limitations. Innovations in federated learning, explainable AI, and interoperable EMR standards could mitigate challenges like data silos and algorithmic bias, fostering trust and broader adoption. Collaborative efforts between tech developers, healthcare institutions, and policymakers will be pivotal in creating frameworks for ethical AI use, robust data governance, and equitable access. As AI continues to evolve, its synergy with tools like Microsoft Autopilot promises not only to refine risk stratification but also to pioneer personalized, predictive care models ushering in an era where CDM is more proactive, precise, and patient-centered than ever before.

Acknowledgements

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Subramanian M, Wojtusciszyn A, Favre L, Boughorbel S, Shan J, et al. (2020) Precision medicine in the era of artificial intelligence: implications in chronic disease management. J Transl Med 18: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-020-02658-5
2. Tarumi S, Takeuchi W, Chalkidis G, Rodriguez-Loya S, Kuwata J, et al. (2021) Leveraging artificial intelligence to improve chronic disease care: methods and application to pharmacotherapy decision support for type-2 diabetes mellitus. Methods Inf Med 60: e32–e43. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1728757
3. Xie Y, Lu L, Gao F, He SJ, Zhao HJ, et al. (2021) Integration of artificial intelligence, blockchain, and wearable technology for chronic disease management: a new paradigm in smart healthcare. Curr Med Sci 41: 1123–1133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11596-021-2485-0
4. Elhaddad M, Hamam S (2024) AI-driven clinical decision support systems: an ongoing pursuit of potential. Cureus 16: e57728. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.57728
5. Maddali R (2024) Self-learning AI for automated end-to-end QA in continuous deployment pipelines. Int J Eng Technol Res Manag 8: 155-166.
6. Fraile Navarro D, Kocaballi AB, Dras M, Berkovsky S (2023) Collaboration, not confrontation: understanding general practitioners' attitudes towards natural language and text automation in clinical practice. ACM Trans Comput Hum Interact 30: 1–34. https://doi.org/10.1145/3569893
7. AI in Healthcare Advantages and Disadvantages. [https://zenbit.tech/blog/ai-in-healthcare-advantages-and-disadvantages/] [Accessed August 12, 2025]
8. Yildirim N, Richardson H, Wetscherek MT, Bajwa J, Jacob J, et al. (2024) Multimodal healthcare AI: identifying and designing clinically relevant vision-language applications for radiology. Proc CHI Conf Hum Factors Comput Syst 2024: 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642013
9. Kocaballi AB, Ijaz K, Laranjo L, Quiroz JC, Rezazadegan D, et al. (2020) Envisioning an artificial intelligence documentation assistant for future primary care consultations: a co-design study with general practitioners. J Am Med Inform Assoc 27: 1695–1704. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa131
10. Reddy S (2024) Generative AI in healthcare: an implementation science informed translational path on application, integration and governance. Implement Sci 19: 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-024-01357-9
11. Morrow E, Zidaru T, Ross F, Mason C, Patel KD, et al. (2023) Artificial intelligence technologies and compassion in healthcare: a systematic scoping review. Front Psychol 13: 1-31. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.971044
12. New on Microsoft AppSource: December 12–21, 2024. [https://techcommunity.microsoft.com/blog/marketplace-blog/new-on-microsoft-appsource-december-12-21-2024/4361623] [Accessed August 12, 2025]
13. The 2024 MAD (ML, AI & Data) Landscape. [https://mad.firstmark.com/card] [Accessed August 12, 2025].
14. Keshta I, Odeh A (2021) Security and privacy of electronic health records: concerns and challenges. Egypt Inform J 22: 177–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eij.2020.07.003
15. Bani Issa W, Al Akour I, Ibrahim A, Almarzouqi A, Abbas S, et al. (2020) Privacy, confidentiality, security and patient safety concerns about electronic health records. Int Nurs Rev 67: 218–230. https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12585
16. Sahi MA, Abbas H, Saleem K, Yang X, Derhab A, et al. (2017) Privacy preservation in e-healthcare environments: state of the art and future directions. IEEE Access 6: 464–478. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2767561
17. Alowais SA, Alghamdi SS, Alsuhebany N, Alqahtani T, Alshaya AI, et al. (2023) Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice. BMC Med Educ 23: 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04698-z
18. Kelly CJ, Karthikesalingam A, Suleyman M, Corrado G, King D (2019) Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artificial intelligence. BMC Med 17: 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-019-1426-2
19. Esmaeilzadeh P (2024) Challenges and strategies for wide-scale artificial intelligence (AI) deployment in healthcare practices: a perspective for healthcare organizations. Artif Intell Med 151: 102861. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2024.102861
20. Joshi H (2025) AI and chronic diseases from data integration to clinical implementation. In Generative AI Techniques for Sustainability in Healthcare Security. IGI Global Scientific Publishing, pp 17–40.
21. Rashid AB, Kausik AK (2024) AI revolutionizing industries worldwide: a comprehensive overview of its diverse applications. Hybrid Adv 7: 100277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hybadv.2024.100277
22. Grinin L, Grinin A, Korotayev A (2024) Nanotechnologies, robotics, artificial intelligence and other MANBRIC technologies in the long-term development. In Cybernetic Revolution and Global Aging. Springer International Publishing, pp 403–457.
23. Alhur A (2024) Redefining healthcare with artificial intelligence (AI): the contributions of ChatGPT, Gemini, and Co-pilot. Cureus 16: e57795. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.57795
24. Ugajin A (2023) Automation in hospitals and health care. In Springer Handbook of Automation. Springer International Publishing, pp 1209–1233.
25. Pollack TA, Illuri V, Khorzad R, Aleppo G, Oakes DJ, et al. (2018) Risk assessment of the hospital discharge process of high-risk patients with diabetes. BMJ Open Qual 7: 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjoq-2017-000224

26. Ohman EM, Granger CB, Harrington RA, Lee KL (2000) Risk stratification and therapeutic decision making in acute coronary syndromes. JAMA 284: 876–878. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.284.7.876

27. Xu J, Williams-Livingston A, Gaglioti A, McAllister C, Rust G (2018) A practical risk stratification approach for implementing a primary care chronic disease management program in an underserved community. J Health Care Poor Underserved 29: 202–213. https://doi.org/10.1353/hpu.2018.0014

28. Cushing K, Higgins PD (2021) Management of crohn disease: a review. JAMA 325: 69–80. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.18936

29. Funes Hernandez M, Babakhanian M, Chen TP, Sarraju A, Seninger C, et al. (2024) Design and implementation of an electronic health record-integrated hypertension management application. J Am Heart Assoc 13: e030884. https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha.123.030884

30. Hallek M (2019) Chronic lymphocytic leukemia: 2020 update on diagnosis, risk stratification and treatment. Am J Hematol 94: 1266–1287. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.25595

31. Hallek M (2017) Chronic lymphocytic leukemia: 2017 update on diagnosis, risk stratification, and treatment. Am J Hematol 92: 946–965. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.24826

32. Vlachonikola E, Sofou E, Chatzidimitriou A, Stamatopoulos K, Agathangelidis A (2021) The significance of B-cell receptor stereotypy in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: biological and clinical implications. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 35: 687–702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hoc.2021.03.003

33. Pérez HA, Adeoye AO, Aballay L, Armando LA, García NH (2021) An intensive follow-up in subjects with cardiometabolic high-risk. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 31: 2860–2869. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2021.06.011

34. Carrión RE, McLaughlin D, Goldberg TE, Auther AM, Olsen RH, et al. (2013) Prediction of functional outcome in individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis. JAMA Psychiatry 70: 1133–1142. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1909

35. High KP, Zieman S, Gurwitz J, Hill C, Lai J, et al. (2019) Use of functional assessment to define therapeutic goals and treatment. J Am Geriatr Soc 67: 1782–1790. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15975

36. Martinou E, Pericleous M, Stefanova I, Kaur V, Angelidi AM (2022) Diagnostic modalities of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: from biochemical biomarkers to multi-omics non-invasive approaches. Diagnostics 12: 407. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12020407

37. Wang RC, Wang Z (2023) Precision medicine: disease subtyping and tailored treatment. Cancers 15: 3837. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15153837

38. Johansson Å, Andreassen OA, Brunak S, Franks PW, Hedman H, et al. (2023) Precision medicine in complex diseases-molecular subgrouping for improved prediction and treatment stratification. J Intern Med 294: 378–396. https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.13640

39. Cheng XS, VanWagner LB, Costa SP, Axelrod DA, Bangalore S, et al. (2022) Emerging evidence on coronary heart disease screening in kidney and liver transplantation candidates: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association: endorsed by the American Society of Transplantation. Circulation 146: e299–e324. https://doi.org/10.1161/cir.0000000000001104

40. Mohebali D, Anagnostopoulos AM, Estrada-Roman A, Pavlakis M, Curry MP, et al. (2019) Cardiovascular risk assessment in renal and liver transplant candidates: a multidisciplinary institutional standardized approach. Cardiol Rev 27: 286–292. https://doi.org/10.1097/crd.0000000000000282

41. Shah V, Ray S, Roy DG, Kubba S, Rao S, et al. (2025) Management of chronic coronary syndromes in resource-constrained settings in India: an expert opinion with a proposed algorithm. Int J Cardiovasc Sci 38: e20240103. https://doi.org/10.36660/ijcs.20240103

42. Dachy A, Van Loo L, Mekahli D (2023) Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease in children and adolescents: assessing and managing risk of progression. Adv Kidney Dis Health 30: 236–244. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.akdh.2023.01.007b

43. Abbas N, Fallowfield J, Patch D, Stanley AJ, Mookerjee R, et al. (2023) Guidance document: risk assessment of patients with cirrhosis prior to elective non-hepatic surgery. Frontline Gastroenterol 14: 359–370. https://doi.org/10.1136/flgastro-2023-102381

44. Walia D, Saraya A, Gunjan D (2023) COVID-19 in patients with pre-existing chronic liver disease–predictors of outcomes. World J Virol 12: 30-43. https://doi.org/10.5501/wjv.v12.i1.30

45. Tu JB, Liao WJ, Liu WC, Gao XH (2024) Using machine learning techniques to predict the risk of osteoporosis based on nationwide chronic disease data. Sci Rep 14: 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-56114-1

46. Colomer-Lahiguera S, Gentizon J, Christofis M, Darnac C, Serena A, et al. (2024) Achieving comprehensive, patient-centered cancer services: optimizing the role of advanced practice nurses at the core of precision health. Semin Oncol Nurs 40: 151629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soncn.2024.151629

47. Rani S, Kataria A, Bhambri P, Pareek PK, Puri V (2024) Artificial intelligence in personalized health services for better patient care. In Revolutionizing Healthcare: AI Integration with IoT for Enhanced Patient Outcomes. Springer Nature Switzerland, pp 89–108.

48. Goktas P, Grzybowski A (2025) Shaping the future of healthcare: ethical clinical challenges and pathways to trustworthy AI. J Clin Med 14: 1605. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm14051605

49. Shuaib A (2024) Transforming healthcare with AI: promises, pitfalls, and pathways forward. Int J Gen Med: 1765–1771. https://doi.org/10.2147/ijgm.s449598

50. Bekbolatova M, Mayer J, Ong CW, Toma M (2024) Transformative potential of AI in healthcare: definitions, applications, and navigating the ethical landscape and public perspectives. Healthcare 12: 125. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12020125

51. Rezaeian O, Bayrak AE, Asan O (2025) Explainability and AI confidence in clinical decision support systems: effects on trust, diagnostic performance, and cognitive load in breast cancer care. arxiv Preprint. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2501.16693

52. Dada SA, Korang A, Umoren J, Donkor A (2024) The role of artificial intelligence and machine learning in optimizing U.S. healthcare supply chain management. World J Adv Res Rev 24: 1996–2002. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.2.3343

53. Walz TN, Wood D, Jimenez JM, Evans G, Barnes BW (2025) Innovating healthcare management education with artificial intelligence: a case study from the Army-Baylor University Graduate Program in Health and Business Administration. Mil Med usaf167. https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usaf167

54. Abdul S, Adeghe EP, Adegoke BO, Adegoke AA, Udedeh EH (2024) AI-enhanced healthcare management during natural disasters: conceptual insights. Eng Sci Technol J 5: 1794–1816. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i5.1155

55. Hua D, Petrina N, Young N, Cho JG, Poon SK (2024) Understanding the factors influencing acceptability of AI in medical imaging domains among healthcare professionals: a scoping review. Artif Intell Med 147: 102698. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2023.102698

56. Nahm WJ, Sohail N, Burshtein J, Goldust M, Tsoukas M (2025) Artificial intelligence in dermatology: a comprehensive review of approved applications, clinical implementation, and future directions. Int J Dermatol 2025. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijd.17847

57. Castonguay A, Farthing P, Davies S, Vogelsang L, Kleib M, et al. (2023) Revolutionizing nursing education through AI integration: a reflection on the disruptive impact of ChatGPT. Nurse Educ Today 129: 105916. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2023.105916

58. Zuhair V, Babar A, Ali R, Oduoye MO, Noor Z, et al. (2024) Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on global health and enhancing healthcare in developing nations. J Prim Care Community Health 15: 21501319241245847. https://doi.org/10.1177/21501319241245847

59. Pandya S, Thakur A, Saxena S, Jassal N, Patel C, et al. (2021) A study of the recent trends of immunology: key challenges, domains, applications, datasets, and future directions. Sensors 21: 7786. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21237786

60. Barbieri C, Neri L, Stuard S, Mari F, Martín-Guerrero JD (2023) From electronic health records to clinical management systems: how the digital transformation can support healthcare services. Clin Kidney J 16: 1878–1884. https://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfad168

61. Gopal G, Suter-Crazzolara C, Toldo L, Eberhardt W (2019) Digital transformation in healthcare–architectures of present and future information technologies. Clin Chem Lab Med 57: 328–335. https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2018-0658

62. Maleki Varnosfaderani S, Forouzanfar M (2024) The role of AI in hospitals and clinics: transforming healthcare in the 21st century. Bioengineering 11: 337. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering11040337

63. Knevel R, Liao KP (2023) From real-world electronic health record data to real-world results using artificial intelligence. Ann Rheum Dis 82: 306–311. https://doi.org/10.1136/ard-2022-222626

64. Kaswan KS, Gaur L, Dhatterwal JS, Kumar R (2021) AI-based natural language

processing for the generation of meaningful information electronic health record (EHR) data. In Advanced AI Techniques and Applications in Bioinformatics. CRC Press, pp 41–86.

65. Lin WC, Chen JS, Chiang MF, Hribar MR (2020) Applications of artificial intelligence to electronic health record data in ophthalmology. Transl Vis Sci Technol 9: 13. https://doi.org/10.1167/tvst.9.2.13

66. Zafari H, Langlois S, Zulkernine F, Kosowan L, Singer A (2022) AI in predicting COPD in the Canadian population. Biosyst 211: 104585. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2021.104585

67. Zhang Y, Joly R, Beecy AN, Principe S, Satpathy S, et al. (2024) Implementation of a machine learning risk prediction model for postpartum depression in the electronic health records. AMIA Summits Transl Sci Proc 2024: 1057-1066.

68. Yang M, Liu C, Wang X, Li Y, Gao H, et al. (2020) An explainable artificial intelligence predictor for early detection of sepsis. Crit Care Med 48: e1091–e1096. https://doi.org/10.1097/ccm.0000000000004550

69. Yang X, Chen A, PourNejatian N, Shin HC, Smith KE, et al. (2022) Gatortron: a large clinical language model to unlock patient information from unstructured electronic health records. arXiv Preprint. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.27.22271257

70. Bertini A, Salas R, Chabert S, Sobrevia L, Pardo F (2022) Using machine learning to predict complications in pregnancy: a systematic review. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 9: 1-16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2021.780389

71. Morin O, Vallières M, Braunstein S, Ginart JB, Upadhaya T, et al. (2021) An artificial intelligence framework integrating longitudinal electronic health records with real-world data enables continuous pan-cancer prognostication. Nat Cancer 2: 709–722. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-021-00236-2

72. Krishnan R, Rajpurkar P, Topol EJ (2022) Self-supervised learning in medicine and healthcare. Nat Biomed Eng 6: 1346–1352. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-022-00914-1

73. Acosta JN, Falcone GJ, Rajpurkar P, Topol EJ (2022) Multimodal biomedical AI. Nat Med 28: 1773–1784. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-01981-2

74. Moor M, Banerjee O, Abad ZSH, Krumholz HM, Leskovec J, et al. (2023) Foundation models for generalist medical artificial intelligence. Nature 616: 259–265. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05881-4

75. Al Kuwaiti A, Nazer K, Al-Reedy A, Al-Shehri S, Al-Muhanna A, et al. (2023) A review of the role of artificial intelligence in healthcare. J Pers Med 13: 951. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm13060951

76. Microsoft Dragon Copilot. [https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/health-solutions/clinical-workflow/dragon-copilot] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

77. Autopilot Workflow Solutions. [https://azuremarketplace.microsoft.com/en-us/marketplace/apps/autopilotworkflowsolutions.autopilot] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

78. Modern Device Strategy for Healthcare Providers. [https://techcommunity.microsoft.com/blog/surfaceitpro/modern-device-strategy-for-healthcare-providers/3779975] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

79. Puttegowda M, Nagaraju SB (2025) Artificial intelligence and machine learning in mechanical engineering: current trends and future prospects. Eng App Artificial Intelligence 142: 109910. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2024.109910

80. Microsoft Dragon Copilot Provides the Healthcare Industry's First Unified Voice AI Assistant that Enables Clinicians to Streamline Clinical Documentation, Surface Information and Automate Tasks. [https://news.microsoft.com/source/2025/03/03/microsoft-dragon-copilot-provides-the-healthcare-industrys-first-unified-voice-ai-assistant-that-enables-clinicians-to-streamline-clinical-documentation-surface-information-and-automate-task] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

81. Fairview Health Services Saves Over $3 Million with Windows 11 OS Modernization [https://www.microsoft.com/en/customers/story/22607-fairview-health-services-windows-11] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

82. ViVE 2025: Why Ambient Intelligence is Poised to Transform Healthcare [https://healthtechmagazine.net/article/2025/02/vive-2025-why-ambient-intelligence-poised-transform-healthcare] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

83. OpenText ZENworks Configuration Management. [https://www.opentext.com/products/zenworks-configuration-management] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

84. DAX Copilot by Clinically Speaking. [https://clinicallyspeaking.net/dax-copilot-by-clinically-speaking] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

85. Healthcare Experience Cloud for Providers: Overview. [https://support.talkdesk.com/hc/en-us/articles/4408616300571-Healthcare-Experience-Cloud-for-Providers-Overview] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

86. Mayo Researchers Develop AI-enhanced Strategy to Personalize Medication Alerts. [https://newsnetwork.mayoclinic.org/discussion/mayo-researchers-develop-ai-enhanced-strategy-to-personalize-medication-alerts] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

87. Baptist Health Saves 4,400 Hours Annually with Azure Virtual Desktop. [https://www.microsoft.com/en/customers/story/19813-baptist-health-windows-11] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

88. Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Researchers Use AI-powered Method to Identify Genetic Epilepsies Much Earlier than Current Genetic Diagnosis. [https://www.chop.edu/news/children-s-hospital-philadelphia-researchers-use-ai-powered-method-identify-genetic-epilepsies] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

89. Health and Wellness. Remote Patient Monitoring Improves Care for Chronic Disease. [https://about.kaiserpermanente.org/health-and-wellness/our-care/remote-patient-monitoring-improves-care-for-chronic-disease] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

90. Integrating Clinical Input into Digital Tools Tightens Workflow. [https://consultqd.clevelandclinic.org/integrating-clinical-input-into-digital-tools-tightens-workflow] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

91. Azure for Mission-critical Workloads in Healthcare: EHR and Beyond. [https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/industry/blog/healthcare/2025/02/10/azure-for-mission-critical-workloads-in-healthcare-ehr-and-beyond] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

92. Epic vs Cerner: Which Electronic Health Record System to Choose? [https://empeek.com/insights/epic-vs-cerner] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

93. Epic and Microsoft Bring GPT-4 to EHRs. [https://www.epic.com/epic/post/epic-and-microsoft-bring-gpt-4-to-ehrs] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

94. Microsoft and Epic Expand AI Collaboration to Accelerate Generative AI's Impact in Healthcare, Addressing the Industry's Most Pressing Needs. [https://blogs.microsoft.com/blog/2023/08/22/microsoft-and-epic-expand-ai-collaboration-to-accelerate-generative-ais-impact-in-healthcare-addressing-the-industrys-most-pressing-needs] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

95. Elation Health Survey: 66% of Physicians Say AI Cuts Admin Burden. [https://community.hlth.com/insights/news/elation-health-survey-66-of-physicians-say-ai-cuts-admin-burden-2024-11-18] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

96. Pong C, Tseng RMW, Tham YC, Lum E (2024) Current implementation of digital health in chronic disease management: scoping review. J Med Internet Res 26: e53576. https://doi.org/10.2196/53576

97. The Transformative Power of Conversational Technologies — Part 2. [https://www.mayoclinicplatform.org/2022/12/22/the-transformative-power-of-conversational-technologies-part-2] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

98. Alves M, Seringa J, Silvestre T, Magalhães T (2024) Use of Artificial Intelligence tools in supporting decision-making in hospital management. BMC Health Services Research 24: 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-11602-y

99. Telehealth's Impact on Rural Hospitals: A Literature Review. [https://www.ruralhealth.us/blogs/2025/02/telehealth-s-impact-on-rural-hospitals-a-literature-review] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

100. 10 Top AI Tools in Healthcare for 2025. [https://www.techtarget.com/healthtechanalytics/feature/Top-AI-tools-in-healthcare] [Accessed August 12, 2025]

101. Rigas ES, Kostomanolakis S, Kyriakoulakos N, Kounalakis D, Petrakis I, et al. (2023) A hackathon as a tool to enhance research and practice on electronic health record systems' interoperability for chronic disease management and prevention. Front Digit Health 5: 1-13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fdgth.2023.1275711

102. Chenhui Z, Huilong D, Xudong L (2008) An integration approach of healthcare information system. In International Conference on BioMedical Engineering and Informatics (BMEI).

103. Pant K, Bhatia M, Pant R (2022) Integrated care with digital health innovation: pressing challenges. J Integr Care 30: 324–334. https://doi.org/10.1108/jica-01-2022-0008

104. Shah H, Krishnan G, Williams P, Vogler A, Allard RD, et al. (2011) Interoperability and integration considerations for a process-oriented clinical decision support system. In 2011 IEEE World Congress on Services (SERVICES).